Integrin-mediated adhesion regulates membrane order
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The properties of cholesterol-dependent domains (lipid rafts) in cell membranes have been controversial. Because integrin-mediated cell adhesion and caveolin both regulate trafficking of raft components, we investigated the effects of adhesion and caveolin on membrane order. The fluorescent probe Laurdan and two-photon microscopy revealed that focal adhesions are highly ordered; in fact, they are more ordered than caveolae or domains that stain with cholera toxin subunit B (CtxB). Membrane order at focal adhesion depends partly on phosphorylation of caveolin1 at Tyr14, which localizes to focal adhesions. Detachment of cells from the substratum triggers a rapid, caveolin-independent decrease in membrane order, followed by a slower, caveolin-dependent decrease that correlates with internalization of CtxB-stained domains. Endocytosed CtxB domains also become more fluid. Thus, membrane order is highly dependent on caveolae and focal adhesions. These results show that lipid raft properties are conferred by assembly of specific protein complexes. The ordered state within focal adhesions may have important consequences for signaling at these sites.

Introduction

Eukaryotic plasma membranes are thought to contain dynamic microdomains called lipid rafts, which are proposed to be cholesterol- and sphingolipid-rich ordered domains that “float” in an environment of more fluid regions (Simons and Toomre, 2000; Kusumi et al., 2004). However, some authors have argued that rafts are not preexisting structures in cell membranes, but are induced by clustering of raft components (Harris and Siu, 2002). It has also been suggested that rafts may simply be artifactual (Munro, 2003). Rafts were initially defined by their insolubility in the detergent Triton X-100 (Brown and London, 1998). Many proteins, including important signaling molecules, preferentially partition into these fractions (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). However, because cold detergents can scramble lipids (Heerklotz et al., 2003; Gaus et al., 2005b), these membranes cannot be equated with native microdomains (Munro, 2003). In cells, glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol–anchored and myristoylated/palmitoylated proteins have been reported to be present in cholesterol-dependent domains that are tens of nanometers in diameter (Varma and Mayor, 1998; Zacharias et al., 2002; Sharma et al., 2004). In addition, caveolae represent a subtype of lipid raft that form flask-shaped membrane invaginations containing the structural protein caveolin1 (Cav1; Pelkmans and Zerial, 2005). Cav1 directly interacts with cholesterol, palmitic acid, and stearic acid and has been implicated in signal transduction (Razani et al., 2002) and endocytosis (Pelkmans and Helenius, 2002), particularly of cholera toxin subunit B (CtxB), which binds to the ganglioside GM1 (Pelkmans et al., 2002).

Cell adhesion to the ECM is mediated mainly by integrins, which, when in culture, cluster with numerous cytoskeletal and signaling proteins at focal adhesions and focal complexes (Schwartz, 1997). Integrins control many signaling events that are critical for cell survival, growth, and gene expression (Schwartz, 2001; Hynes, 2002). Both integrin clustering and changes in conformation caused by ligand binding contribute to these signaling events. Additionally, integrin binding to ECM proteins is controlled by intracellular signaling pathways. There are several reports linking integrins with lipid rafts and/or caveolin. Functional activation of integrins, i.e., conversion to the high affinity state, appears to be linked to lipid raft localization (Porter and Hogg, 1998; Chapman et al., 1999; Wei et al., 1999; Decker and Ffrench-Constant, 2004). Caveolin was reported to physically associate with integrins (Wary et al., 1998;
Recently, it was shown that integrin-mediated adhesion regulates the trafficking of lipid raft components such that when cells are detached multiple raft markers are rapidly internalized (del Pozo et al., 2004). This process requires dynamin2 and caveolin1 phosphorylated at Tyr 14 (del Pozo et al., 2005). The phosphorylated caveolin1 (pYCav1) localizes to focal adhesions in adherent cells, but relocates to caveolae when cells are detached.

We analyzed membrane order at focal adhesions and determined its dependence on integrins, Cav1 expression, and phosphorylation. We used the membrane dye Laurdan in conjunction with two-photon laser scanning microscopy, which has been used extensively to define ordered domains in artificial membranes (Bagatolli et al., 2003) and in live and fixed cells (Gaus et al., 2003). In macrophages, neutrophils, and activated T lymphocytes, condensed membranes cover a significant proportion of the cell surface and are frequently associated with actin-rich membrane protrusions (Gaus et al., 2003; Kindzelskii et al., 2004) or immunological synapses (Gaus et al., 2005a). Our results show that membrane order is highly dependent on integrin binding to the ECM, that focal adhesions are sites of high membrane order, and that these events are partially dependent on caveolin.

Results
Membrane lipids in focal adhesions are highly ordered
To assess the physical state of cell membranes, we analyzed Laurdan fluorescence using two-photon microscopy.

The Laurdan probe does not preferentially partition into either lipid phase, but aligns parallel to the phospholipids (Bagatolli et al., 2003) and undergoes a shift in its peak emission wavelength from ~500 nm in fluid membranes to ~440 nm in ordered membranes. The shift to longer emission wavelength is caused by partial penetration of water molecules into more fluid membranes; a polar environment favors an internal charge transfer state of the probe with an energetically lower excited state and, hence, a longer emission wavelength (Gaus et al., 2006). A normalized ratio of the two emission regions, given by the general polarization (GP), provides a relative measure of membrane order. GP values are, in principle, between –1 and +1, with fluid domains ranging from ~0.05 to 0.25 and ordered domains ranging from 0.25 to 0.55 (Gaus et al., 2006). Although Laurdan has reported different lipid phases in liposomes (Bagatolli et al., 2003), phase separation in cell plasma membranes has not been observed (Gaus et al., 2003). In the complex environment of cell membranes, GP values reflect the overall membrane structure. When cells are fixed and immunolabeled, Laurdan does not bind to or become trapped in complexes or membrane domains, as indicated by complete extraction by 0.1% Triton X-100 (Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1). However, we cannot completely exclude that proteins, or perhaps physical parameters of membranes other than lipid packing, could affect Laurdan’s spectral properties.

Serum-starved pig aortic endothelial cells (PAEC) on fibronectin (FN)-coated glass coverslips were imaged at the basal membrane. GP images (Fig. 1, A, F, and K) show punctuated, condensed membranes. The Laurdan probe does not preferentially partition into either lipid phase, but aligns parallel to the phospholipids and undergoes a shift in its peak emission wavelength from ~500 nm in fluid membranes to ~440 nm in ordered membranes. The shift to longer emission wavelength is caused by partial penetration of water molecules into more fluid membranes; a polar environment favors an internal charge transfer state of the probe with an energetically lower excited state and, hence, a longer emission wavelength (Gaus et al., 2006). A normalized ratio of the two emission regions, given by the general polarization (GP), provides a relative measure of membrane order. GP values are, in principle, between –1 and +1, with fluid domains ranging from ~0.05 to 0.25 and ordered domains ranging from 0.25 to 0.55 (Gaus et al., 2006). Although Laurdan has reported different lipid phases in liposomes (Bagatolli et al., 2003), phase separation in cell plasma membranes has not been observed (Gaus et al., 2003). In the complex environment of cell membranes, GP values reflect the overall membrane structure. When cells are fixed and immunolabeled, Laurdan does not bind to or become trapped in complexes or membrane domains, as indicated by complete extraction by 0.1% Triton X-100 (Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1). However, we cannot completely exclude that proteins, or perhaps physical parameters of membranes other than lipid packing, could affect Laurdan’s spectral properties.

Serum-starved pig aortic endothelial cells (PAEC) on fibronectin (FN)-coated glass coverslips were imaged at the basal membrane. GP images (Fig. 1, A, F, and K) show punctuated, condensed membranes.
irregularly distributed, high GP domains pseudocolored yellow to red. Shown at a higher magnification in Fig. 1 (B, G, and L), these ordered domains are typically a few pixels in diameter, where a single pixel (~215 × 215 nm) is close to the spatial resolution of the microscope (183 nm). The high GP domains seen in adherent PAECs are therefore 0.2–1.0 μm in diameter. It is important to note that these areas do not necessarily represent single membrane domains, but rather areas in which the fraction of ordered domains is higher.

To identify distinct membrane structures, we immunolabeled these cells with antibodies against phosphorylated FAK (pFAK) and phosphorylated caveolin-1 (pYCav1) as focal adhesion markers (del Pozo et al., 2005), total Cav1 as a caveolar marker, or Cy3-conjugated CTxB, which binds to GM1 and is a well-established marker for lipid rafts (Fra et al., 1994). To correlate GP with focal adhesions, we used the immunofluorescent images to mask the GP images; the masked GP images only show the immunostained pixels, using the same pseudocoloring to indicate GP values. Fig. 1 (D, I, and N) shows the masked GP images of Cav1, pYCav1, and pFAK, respectively. Particularly when magnified (Fig. 1, E, J, and O), it becomes apparent that pYCav1- and pFAK-stained pixels are substantially enriched in high GP areas colored yellow and red, whereas Cav1-stained pixels select GP values at the border between red and green. Even within a focal adhesion, GP values are not homogenous, indicating the absence of phase boundaries, as well as the complexity of these membrane sites.

Table I. GP values of immunostained adherent or detached PAECs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>PAEC adherent</th>
<th>PAEC detached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cav1</td>
<td>0.392 ± 0.072 [n = 31]$^a$</td>
<td>0.383 ± 0.059 [n = 18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYCav1</td>
<td>0.482 ± 0.075 [n = 28]$^ab$</td>
<td>0.419 ± 0.053 [n = 20]$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFAK</td>
<td>0.502 ± 0.067 [n = 33]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTxB</td>
<td>0.430 ± 0.084 [n = 12]</td>
<td>0.388 ± 0.054 [n = 16]$^{cd}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTxB (15 min)</td>
<td>0.350 ± 0.056 [n = 13]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTxB (30 min)</td>
<td>0.283 ± 0.056 [n = 12]$^{c}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTxB (120 min)</td>
<td>0.243 ± 0.054 [n = 12]$^d$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adherent or detached PAECs were labeled with Laurdan and other markers and imaged as described in Materials and methods. GP images and confocal images at identical focal planes were compared pixel by pixel to determine the mean GP value ± SD (from n images) within pixels positively stained for Cav1, pYCav1, pFAK, and CTxB as indicated in Fig. 1. Pairs of superscripts indicate statistically significant differences between the two values sharing the same superscript.

To quantify GP values within the immunoselected areas, we determined the average GP values of the masked images and calculated the mean of n images. This pixel-per-pixel comparison gives a “fluidity” index for pixels that stain positively for selected markers. Table I clearly shows that pFAK-positive regions are highly ordered, with a mean GP value (0.502 ± 0.067) that is even higher than CTxB-stained areas (0.430 ± 0.084). In contrast, GP values averaged over the entire cell are 0.23 (Fig. 2). As a control, we determined the GP value of transferrin receptor (TIR)-stained regions (Fig. S1; 0.165 ± 0.066), which was consistent with the exclusion of TIR from cholesterol-rich domains (Harder et al., 1998). Triggering TIR uptake resulted in a similar mean GP value, suggesting that neither surface-bound TIR nor coated pits or endosomes contain a high fraction of ordered domains. Collectively, these results show that focal adhesions are highly ordered.

It has been shown previously that Cav1 phosphorylated on Y14 localizes to focal adhesions (Scherer et al., 1997; Wary et al., 1998; del Pozo et al., 2005). This phosphorylated fraction comprises <1% of the total Cav1 (del Pozo et al., 2005); thus, the total Cav1 staining of focal adhesions is very weak. Cav1 instead localizes to distinct regions of the cell (Fig. 1 C), where, presumably, caveolae are abundant. Overlaying GP with images of Cav1 or pYCav1 showed that these regions are also highly ordered (Fig. 1 E and J, and Table I). Interestingly, GP was higher in pixels positive for pYCav1 than for Cav1 (P < 0.05), which is consistent with focal adhesions being very highly ordered.
We next determined whether membrane structure at pYCav1-stained regions depends on cholesterol (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1). After cholesterol depletion with 10 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (mβCD) for 1 h, the mean GP value at these regions decreased to 0.293 ± 0.077 (n = 19; P < 0.001). The mean GP value was restored to 0.508 ± 0.049 (n = 17) after cholesterol-depleted cells were incubated with 15 μg/ml cholesterol complexed to 0.37 mM mβCD for an additional 1 h. These data indicate that membrane order at focal adhesions depends on cholesterol.

**Effects of cell adhesion**

The localization of CtxB to Cav1-enriched domains increased 2–10 min after the detachment of cells from the substratum and was followed by internalization of the CtxB (del Pozo et al., 2005). Therefore, we analyzed the effects of cell adhesion on membrane structure. After detachment, all membrane domains become more fluid (Table I); CtxB-positive domains in particular showed a drastic, time-dependent decrease in mean GP. However, a difference in mean GP after 1–2 min of detachment was only significant for the focal adhesion marker pYCav1, suggesting that the structure of pYCav1-containing membrane domains is dependent on integrin engagement. It is also noteworthy that Cav1 and pYCav1 are located in membrane domains of similar GP value in detached cells, whereas pYCav1 was found in more ordered domains in adherent cells. This observation is consistent with increased colocalization of pYCav1 with Cav1 upon detachment (del Pozo et al., 2005). Collectively, the data suggest that membrane order at focal adhesions is higher than that at caveolae, and that focal adhesion, but not caveolar membrane structure, is dependent on integrin engagement.

Keeping endothelial cells in suspension triggers the internalization of GM1-containing membrane domains (unpublished data), just as in fibroblasts (del Pozo et al., 2004). Consistent with this result, the mean GP of internalized GM1-containing raft membranes showed a statistically significant, time-dependent decrease after detachment (Table I). This finding is in agreement with the increased solubility of GM1 in cold detergent after cell detachment (del Pozo et al., 2005). Collectively, the data suggest that not only are ordered domains internalized when cells are detached but also that membrane organization is drastically perturbed as a result of this process.

Fig. 2 compares the global membrane structure of adherent PAEC with PAEC suspended for 1–2 min. Normalized GP histograms can be accurately described by fitting to two Gaussian populations (error function [ERF] < 0.01). In adherent cells, the fluid population covered 82.3% of the surface and the mean GP was 0.166; ordered domains covered 17.7% and mean GP was 0.508. Immediately after detachment, the GP of the ordered population decreased to 0.444, while its abundance decreased to 10.8%. It is interesting to note that the fluid population increased its coverage to 89.2%, but became more ordered (mean GP 0.255). This result suggests that components that confer order, such as cholesterol, may have moved from the rafts into the bulk membrane.

**Membrane order of focal adhesion is partly dependent on Cav1 expression**

To investigate whether the high degree of order within focal adhesions requires Cav1, we examined mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from wild-type (WT) and Cav1−/− animals. Distribution of pFAK in MEFs on FN was similar in both cell types (Fig. 3, B and F), demonstrating that focal adhesions are not compromised in Cav1−/− cells, as previously reported (del Pozo et al., 2005). However, analysis of GP revealed that focal adhesions were significantly more ordered in WT MEFs compared with Cav1−/− MEFs (Table II and Fig. 3, D and H), although lower than those in PAEC. We next compared CtxB-enriched domains in both cell types. The intensity of CtxB staining was similar in both cell types (unpublished data) and a comparison of GP values in CtxB-positive pixels revealed no significant difference between Cav1−/− and WT MEFs (Table II).

Previous work suggested that lipid rafts may influence integrin function, with integrin activation, clustering, and adhesion being raft-dependent (Leitinger and Hogg, 2002). Therefore, we considered that integrin affinities may be lower in Cav1−/− compared with WT cells, which in turn could influence membrane order at focal adhesions. Affinity state for integrin α5β1, which is the main FN receptor, was measured by the binding of a soluble integrin-binding fragment of FN that has
been used previously to measure α5β1 affinity (Faull et al., 1993). These measurements showed no difference between WT and Cav1−/− cells (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1). Integrin αvβ3 activation state, assayed by binding of the Fab fragment WOW1 (Pampori et al., 1999), also showed no difference (unpublished data). Thus, effects of caveolin on membrane order are not caused by changes in integrin affinity state.

Next, we compared the global GP distribution in adherent WT and Cav1−/− MEFs (Fig. 4). In WT MEFs, two populations were evident, one with mean GP = 0.178 and 71.4% coverage, and a second with GP = 0.565 and 28.6% coverage. In Cav1−/− MEFs, the fluid population had a mean GP of 0.161 and 91.9% coverage, while the ordered population had mean GP of 0.471 and 8.1% coverage. Hence, ordered domains in adherent Cav1−/− MEFs are both less abundant and less ordered. This difference is most likely caused by the combination of less-ordered focal adhesions and loss of caveolae. It should also be noted that Cav1−/− MEFs are enriched in cholesterol esters but depleted of unesterified cholesterol compared with WT MEFs (Frank et al., 2006), whereas the levels of major phospholipid classes are unaltered (unpublished data). These results are consistent with the known mechanism for autoregulation of cholesterol levels through SREBP cleavage (Horton, 2002). Changes in cholesterol levels and distribution could also contribute to the observed difference in GP distribution. Nevertheless, membranes outside of focal adhesions and caveolae were not significantly different, suggesting that these effects are specific.

**Dependence of focal adhesion membrane structure on pY Cav1**

These results led us to ask whether changes in focal adhesion order in Cav1−/− cells are caused by global changes in membrane structure or by specific loss of pYCav1. Therefore, Cav1−/− MEFs were transfected either with FLAG-tagged WT Cav1 (Fig. 5, A–D) or FLAG-tagged Y14F Cav1 mutant (Fig. 5, E–H). WT and Y14F Cav1 expression levels were similar (not depicted), as were their staining patterns (Fig. 5, B and F). Flag-positive pixels had similar order (GP = 0.354 ± 0.057 for Y14F Cav1 and GP = 0.358 ± 0.060 for WT Cav1; see FLAG in Fig. 5 J), which matched caveolin-positive pixels in nontransfected WT MEF (GP = 0.382 ± 0.070; Table II) or mock-transfected WT MEFs (GP = 0.376 ± 0.042; Table S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1). These results are consistent with the finding that Y14F Cav1 forms caveolae (del Pozo et al., 2005). Global GP histograms showed that both WT and Y14F Cav1 (Fig. 5 I) increased membrane order relative to nontransfected or mock-transfected Cav1−/− MEFs (Fig. 4 B and Table S1, respectively). However, WT Cav1 increased order to a greater extent (mean GP of ordered domains 0.535 vs. 0.487 in WT Cav1 vs. Y14F Cav1, respectively; Fig. 5 I) and coverage of ordered domains was higher.
was particularly more effective at increasing GP values within focal adhesions (marked by pFAK; $GP = 0.421 \pm 0.050$), relative to Y14F Cav1 ($GP = 0.359 \pm 0.063$; Fig. 5 J). The more ordered state within pFAK-positive pixels in WT Cav1–expressing cells is also visible in Fig. 5 D compared with Fig. 5 H, which shows GP values in pFAK-stained areas in Y14F Cav1–expressing cells. Thus, phosphorylation of Cav1 on Tyr14 is important for the highly ordered state of focal adhesion membranes.

To address whether the difference in GP distribution between WT Cav1– and Y14F Cav1–expressing cells can be attributed entirely to higher membrane order within focal adhesions, we analyzed pFAK-negative pixels in both cell types (unpublished data). We found no difference in membrane order outside of focal adhesions. GP in pFAK-negative areas were $0.297 \pm 0.073$ ($n = 12$) and $0.309 \pm 0.096$ ($n = 12$) for Cav1$^{-/-}$ cells transfected with WT Cav1 or Y14F Cav1, respectively. GP values outside focal adhesions in WT Cav1– or Y14F Cav1–expressing cells were significantly higher than those found in Cav1$^{-/-}$ cells ($0.237 \pm 0.052$; $n = 12$), but not WT cells ($0.328 \pm 0.076$; $n = 12$). It is likely that the presence of caveolae account for the difference in membrane order between Cav1$^{-/-}$ and WT cells, whereas the difference in membrane order between WT Cav1– and Y14F Cav1–expressing cells appears to be caused by the change in focal adhesions.

### Cav1-dependent effects

Detachment of cells from the substratum induces internalization of raft components in WT, but not Cav1$^{-/-}$, cells (del Pozo et al., 2005). However, detachment could also perturb domains through other mechanisms. Therefore, we examined WT and Cav1$^{-/-}$ MEFs at various times after detachment (Fig. 6). Table II shows mean GP values of CTxB-positive pixels. As in PAEC, the mean GP value of GM1-positive domains slightly decreased immediately after detachment in both cell types. However, in WT cells, mean GP values continued to decrease as in PAEC. In contrast, Cav1$^{-/-}$ MEFs showed no further decrease in GP within CTxB-positive regions. When we defined plasma membrane

---

**Figure 5.** GP and immunofluorescent images of transfected Cav1$^{-/-}$ MEFs. Cav1$^{-/-}$ MEFs transfected with WT Cav1 (A–D) or Y14F Cav1 (E–H) on FN-coated glass coverslips for 3 h were labeled, fixed, and immunostained as described in Materials and methods. GP images were pseudocolored (A and E) and masked as for Fig. 1. B and F show the corresponding confocal images of pFAK, C and G show the GP values of pFAK-stained regions, and D and H show magnified regions of the masked images. (I) GP distribution (closed diamonds, WT Cav1; open squares, Y14F Cav1) of transfected Cav1$^{-/-}$ MEFs ($n = 20$) fitted to two Gaussian populations (solid black lines). The vertical lines denote the center for fluid populations ($P_f$: WT Cav1, blue; Y14F Cav1, green) and ordered populations ($P_o$: WT Cav1, orange; Y14F Cav1, red). Centers and coverages are given for both populations. ERFs for WT- and Y14F-transfected cells were 0.0051 and 0.0036, respectively. (J) Table listing the mean ± the SD of GP values of pixels stained for pFAK or FLAG. A statistically significant difference within pFAK domains between cells transfected with WT Cav1 and Y14F Cav1 of $P < 0.001$ is indicated with an asterisk.
as the outer 0.5–1.2 μm (≈3–6 pixels) of Laurdan-stained cells and internalized membranes as those inside this zone (indicated in Fig. 6 E), the outer regions became increasingly fluid in WT, but not Cav1−/− MEFs (Fig. 6, Q and R). The internalized membranes also became more fluid in WT cells. These data therefore support the hypothesis that the time-dependent decrease in fluidity of both the plasma membrane and internalized membranes after detachment are dependent on caveolin.

**Discussion**

Whether lipid rafts are preexisting structures in cell membranes that are determined by the self-organization of cholesterol and membrane lipids or are induced by clustering of membrane components has been a controversial question. We demonstrate that membrane order in cells, as detected by the reporter molecule Laurdan, is highly sensitive to integrin clustering and the presence and phosphorylation of caveolin. These data therefore indicate that a significant portion of the raft structure is protein dependent.

That ordered membranes at focal adhesions partially depend on the expression of Cav1 and its phosphorylation on Tyr14 suggests that localization of pYCav1 to focal adhesions recruits membrane components that induce order. Cholesterol may be one such component, which is consistent with the effects of cholesterol depletion observed here and the known binding of cholesterol by caveolin (Razani et al., 2002). Some of the order within focal adhesions is independent of Cav1 and most likely depends on integrin clustering. This idea is supported by the results that focal adhesions in Cav1−/− cells are still more ordered than surrounding regions, and that cell detachment decreases total order at early times before endocytosis of GM1. It also fits well with the association between activated integrins and lipid rafts (Leitinger and Hogg, 2002). These results suggest that the clustering of integrins and of pYCav1 both contribute to the assembly of membrane components into domains that are more ordered than in the uncleaved state.

Consistent with the finding that cell detachment from the ECM triggers internalization of membrane domains in a caveolin-dependent manner (del Pozo et al., 2005), the fluidity of the plasma membrane showed a time-dependent decrease after detachment in WT, but not Cav1−/− cells. Interestingly, CTxB-stained domains became more fluid after endocytosis, suggesting that they mix with other membrane components during trafficking and lose their ordered state. The mechanisms that govern these events will have to await further characterization of the
rafts contribute to the ordered membrane structure at specific sites remains to be seen. It is likely that both lipids and proteins cooperate to establish and maintain ordered membrane domains at focal adhesions.

Materials and methods

Cells and reagents

Pig aortic endothelial cells (PAEC; Cell Application, Inc.) were cultured in M199 containing 20% (vol/vol) FBS and 0.1 mg/ml heparin at 37°C in 5% CO₂. MEFs were prepared from 13.5–day postcoitum embryos obtained by homzygous crossings of cav-1 KO or WT mice (Drab et al., 2001). MEFs were immortalized by continuous passage until growth rates in culture resumed the rapid rates seen in early passage MEFs. MEFs were cultured in DME supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 units/L penicillin, and 100 μg/L streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Antibodies against Cav1, p70S6K, and pAK were all obtained from BD Biosciences. Anti-phosphorylated MAP2 antibody was purchased from Biosource, and anti-Flag M2 antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Secondary donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse lgG conjugated to either Cy3 or Cy5 were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories. Alexa Fluor 555 was obtained from Invitrogen.

The purification of lipid rafts was performed as described previously (Gaus et al., 2003). For confocal microscopy a helium-neon laser was used to excite Cy3 [Ex: 543 nm; Em: 550–620 nm] and Cy5 [Ex: 633 nm; Em 650–720 nm] with appropriate cut-off filters and pinhole widths. For live cells, a 10× oil objective, NA 1.4, was used; for live cell, a 63× oil objective, NA 1.3, was used, and images were recorded at RT.

Image analysis

The GP, which is defined as

\[
GP = \frac{1}{\left(\int_{400}^{460} - \int_{470}^{550}\right)}
\]
was calculated for each pixel in the two Laurdan intensity images using software from WIT [Gaus et al., 2003]. The custom-made WIT algorithm converts the intensity images into floating point format, calculates the GP value for each pixel, and converts the image back to an 8-bit unsigned format. To set background values to zero, the nanomolar ([140–460] + [470 + 530]) is converted to a binary image with background values set to zero, and the binary image multiplied with the GP image. Final GP images were pseudocolored in Photoshop (Adobe). GP distributions were obtained from the histograms of the GP images, normalized (sum = 100), and fitted to two Gaussian distributions using the nonlinear fitting algorithm using Excel software (Microsoft). The quality of the fit was determined by the ERF, as follows:

$$ERF = \sum \frac{(y_{obs} - y_{fit})^2}{\sum y_{obs}^2}$$

where $y_{obs}$ and $y_{fit}$ are the experimental and calculated values, respectively. A fit is regarded as excellent when the ERF < 0.01 [Gaus et al., 2001]. To determine GP values at focal adhesions, background-corrected confocal images were used to mask the GP images; the confocal images defined the regions of interest and the mean GP value of the regions of interest was determined for each image. GP values were corrected using the G-factor obtained for Laurdan in DMSO for each experiment [Gaus et al., 2003].

**Statistics**

The means and SD of two populations were compared with unpaired $t$ tests, assuming unequal variances. For multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s posttesting was performed, assuming Gaussian distributions [Prism; GraphPad Software, Inc.].

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows Laurdan microscopy. Fig. S2 shows cholesterol depletion. Fig. S3 shows integrin activation. Table S1 shows GP values of mock-transfected MEFs. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200603034/DC1.
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