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function of this early pottery remains unknown. The Xianrendong assemblage contained a large number of fragmented bones, so the pottery could have been used in the extraction of marrow and grease (24, 25). Other known uses of pottery in hunter-gatherer societies include food preparation and storage, as well as brewing alcoholic beverages, and could play a vital social role in feasting (26). Thus, the early invention of pottery may have played a key role in human demographic and social adaptations to climate change in East Asia, leading to sedentism, and eventually to the emergence of wild rice cultivation during the early Holocene (17, 27).
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Photonic Crystal Light Collectors in Fish Retina Improve Vision in Turbid Water
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Despite their diversity, vertebrate retinas are specialized to maximize either photon catch or visual acuity. Here, we describe a functional type that is optimized for neither purpose. In the retina of the elephantnose fish (Gnathonemus petersii), cone photoreceptors are grouped together within reflecting, photonic crystal–lined cups acting as macrorreceptors, but rod photoreceptors are positioned behind these reflectors. This unusual arrangement matches rod and cone sensitivity for detecting color-mixed stimuli, whereas the photoreceptor grouping renders the fish insensitive to spatial noise; together, this enables more reliable flight reactions in the fish’s dim and turbid habitat as compared with fish lacking this retinal specialization.

Most vertebrate retinas have two types of photoreceptor cells: rods, capable of sensing one or a few photons, and cones, less light-sensitive by two orders of magnitude but wired to contrast- and color-sensitive neuronal circuits. Cones are “blind” in the dark whereas rods are saturated when cones are active, so typically there is only a small (“mesopic”) range of light intensities at which both rods and cones contribute to vision. All presently known retinas are specialized for either cone-dominated high-acuity vision at daylight or rod-dominated maximum sensitivity in dim environments (1, 2). Fitting neither description, the so-called “grouped retina” was already described 100 years ago as a puzzling retinal anomaly in some fish (3). In such retinas, many cones are grouped together inside large crystalline cups (4), which is incompatible with high spatial resolution (5). But the short rods
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are located behind these light-shielding cups, so this retina is not adapted for very dim light vision either (I).

We investigated the function and purpose of the grouped retina in the elephantnose fish (*Gnathonemus petersii*), using a variety of complementary techniques. (i) Light and electron microscopy (EM) of the grouped retina (Fig. 1) were used as a quantitative basis for (ii) electrodynamical simulations of light propagation inside the crystalline cups. (iii) Absorption spectra measurements of isolated cone and rod outer segments, as well as electoretinography on intact eyes, were applied to reveal the spectral sensitivities of the photoreceptors. (iv) Electro-physiological field potential recordings from the optic tectum served to characterize the stimulus processing. Finally, (v) several behavioral experiments tested how well the fish can detect predator-mimicking visual stimuli in comparison with goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) and pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), both with well-studied visually guided behavior based on high-acuity color vision.

*Gnathonemus* has a typical grouped retina (Fig. 1). Large bundles of inner and outer segments of photoreceptor cells are embedded in a sandglass-shaped scabbard formed by six adjacent giant retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells (Fig. 1, B to F, and movie S1). The shape of the scabbard and its contents undergo some changes between the light- and dark-adapted states (6); all our data were obtained under the daytime conditions (40 lx) found in the fish’s dim habitats (7–10). In this light-adapted state, the upper part of the scabbard forms a reflective cup that is terminated by a constriction. This cup (Fig. 1, B and G) is directed toward the light and contains the light-insensitive inner segments of rods and cones. It was noteworthy that only the light-sensitive outer segments of cones (COSs) are located at its base, whereas the rod outer segments (ROSs) are embedded in the less regularly shaped part of the scabbard below the cup (at bottom in Fig. 1, B and G). Confocal microscopy and EM suggest that the inner surface of the cup acts as a mirror (Fig. 1D), formed by a reflecting multilayer of four layers of thin, evenly spaced rows of guanine-crystal lamellae (Fig. 1J, and fig. S2). The scabbard below the cup lacks a mirror surface but contains light-scattering, submicron-sized guanine crystallites and light-absorbing melanin granules (Fig. 1, G and I to K, and fig. S3B).

To rationalize this peculiar arrangement, we simulated the intensity distributions of the incident light inside and below the reflecting cups (Fig. 1H and fig. S4) using a finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) implementation of Maxwell’s equations [see (11) and supplementary materials for details] with our morphological data and published data for the refractive indices of retinal neurons [n = 1.36; (12)] and of guanine [n = 1.83; (13)] as input parameters. These simulations show that (i) light is efficiently reflected from the walls of the cup, (ii) local intensity is increased by factors >5 at the cup bottom where the COSs are located (particularly, for deep-red wavelengths) (compare Fig. 2A and fig. S4), and (iii) only a small fraction of light reaches the rods. The wavelength dependence of the reflection has to be seen in light of the absorption spectra of the rods and the one type of cone identified morphologically. The measured absorption spectra of mechanically dissociated ROSs (Fig. 2B) and COSs (Fig. 2C) verified that there were single photopigments with maximum absorption at 536 nm (“green,” rods) and at 615 nm (“red,” all cones), respectively. Electroretinograms (ERGs), used to record electrical activity in the retina in response to visual stimuli, showed two corresponding maxima close to the absorption peaks of individual rods and cones (Fig. 2D). Note that the cone-driven peak of the ERG was slightly shifted toward longer wavelengths (Fig. 2D).

---

**Fig. 1.** The grouped retina of *Gnathonemus*. (A) Vertical section through an entire eye. (B) Radial semithin section at the region indicated in (A). The inner retina covers the crystalline cups, containing the COSs. ROSs are well below the cups and surrounded by RPE cells. (C) Transverse section through the cups at the level indicated in (B). The light blue circles are cone inner segments; rod inner segments are too thin to be visible. (D) Light reflection from the cups; top view onto intact retina using a confocal microscope in reflection mode. (E) Artistic rendering of six individual RPE cells, seen from top as in (D). The cells are here shifted away from each other, to demonstrate that the light-reflecting surfaces of six cells contribute to the formation of one cup (see also movie S1). (F) Scanning electron micrograph of several photoreceptor bundles—the filling of the cups. (G) Semithin section of a cup used as a model for the simulations with the COSs at its bottom and the ROSs far below, surrounded by RPE cells containing guanine crystals and melanin. (H) Simulation of the light intensity distribution in a cup for an incident plane wave of broad spectral range (525 to 725 nm). The COSs receive up to 500% of the incident light intensity, whereas the ROSs receive <20%. Color scale shows local gain; G stands for guanine (compare fig. S3). (I) Radial freeze-fracture electron micrograph of the guanine lamellae along the cup’s inner surface. (J and K) Transmission electron micrographs of a transverse section through a cup wall, lined by rows of lamellae (J), and its bulk containing irregular crystals (K). Scale bars: 2 mm (A), 50 μm (B to F), 20 μm (G), 2 μm (I), 1 μm (J) and (K).
versus 2C), in accordance with previously published data (14) that suggested a sensitivity of *Gnathonemus* to deep-red wavelengths, which is commensurate with the red-dominated turbid water it lives in (8, 14, 15) (fig. S1). This shift can be explained by the red-enhanced light reflection at the bottom of the photonic crystal cups (Fig. 2A and fig. S4).

The specific light distribution inside and below the crystalline cups holds the key for resolving the puzzle of the grouped retina, as it allows both cones and rods to be active simultaneously. The COSs—by themselves known to display a lower absolute light sensitivity than the ROSs (16, 17)—receive an elevated level of illumination, whereas the illumination of the ROSs is drastically reduced. The latter is advantageous because it prevents bleaching of rod photopigment and allows their use even under light-adapted conditions. Indeed, the ERGs invariably displayed a mixed rod-and-cone contribution to retinal electrical activity (Fig. 2D), whereas, in other animals, identical illumination conditions eliminate the rod responses from ERG recordings (18). By measuring visually evoked potentials in the optic tectum of *Gnathonemus* (*n* = 4), we confirmed that cone and rod photoreceptors were simultaneously activated over a nearly identical range of intermediate light intensities (Fig. 2E).

The behavioral consequences of this shared working range of rods and cones lie in a superior response to visual stimuli in the dim mesopic range of illumination compared with fish without a grouped retina. In fact, dim stimuli elicited a startle response in *Gnathonemus*, triggered by a rapidly expanding circle, much more reliably than in *Carassius*, whereas the control experiment in bright light showed no difference (Fig. 3A). The

**Fig. 2.** Spectral sensitivity of *Gnathonemus*. (A) Wavelength dependence of the light amplification for the COS at the cup bottom simulated by an electrodynamical model (compare Fig. 1H and figs. S3 and S4). (B and C) Absorption spectra of isolated ROSs (B) (*n* = 18) and COSs (C) (*n* = 4) recorded using a Liebman dual-beam microspectrometer (10). Solid lines represent the corresponding Dartnall nomograms. (D) Spectral sensitivity of the entire retina according to ERG responses to 1-s flashes of different wavelengths (*n* = 5; error bars: SE). The two ERG maxima approximately coincide with the ROS and COS absorption maxima (B) and (C). (E) Field potentials recorded in the optic tectum in response to green and red light flashes of different intensities show that the tectal response of the fish is fully matched in sensitivity at both wavelengths.

**Fig. 3.** *Gnathonemus* responds at low intensities and despite color camouflage. (A) Startle experiment with black circles expanding on a white background. The intensities of circles (*I*) and background (*I*) were varied. At low intensities, *Gnathonemus* (blue) performed better (*P* < 0.05) than *Carassius* (amber; *n* = 5 for both species). (B) Startle experiment with color-pooling task. The expanding circle was dynamically defined by the random exchange of red and green floating particles, with the particles inside the circle becoming stationary (compare movie S2). (C) *Gnathonemus* detected such color-camouflaged stimuli significantly better (*P* < 0.05) than *Carassius* (*n* = 5 for both species). The rate of false responses (right pair of columns) was not significantly different between species. Response percentages are relative to an expanding black circle that represents 1.0.
the moving stripes were black on a white background.

objects (4° and larger) (fig. S5A). This is in contrast to Carassius and Lepomis, which optomotor response is selectively dependent on cone vision (21).

Additional behavioral advantages of Gnathonemus rest in the macroreceptor aspect of the grouped retina. On the one hand, behavioral tests confirmed that Gnathonemus detects only very large objects (4° and larger) (5, 6, 22), whereas objects of 3° visual field angle (corresponding to the sixfold diameter of the full moon in our eye) were not detected (fig. S5B). However, this apparent disadvantage was compensated for because Gnathonemus detected stimuli overlaid with noise in startle and optomotor tests better than Carassius and Lepomis did. When the expanding circle and the moving stripes were black on a white background, there was no statistical difference in the response between Carassius and Gnathonemus. But when a white or gray noise pattern was overlaid, Gnathonemus performed significantly better (Fig. 4, A and B). This improved noise tolerance was also obvious in the detection of large, stationary patterns, where Gnathonemus was only very little affected by the addition of small noise particles to the images, whereas Lepomis refused to accept noisy objects (Fig. 4, C and D). An obvious interpretation of these results is that the macroreceptors act as spatial low-pass filters before neuronal processing and render Gnathonemus incapable of resolving small-scale image information, whereas other fish with more acute vision get distracted by the image detail—an advantage Gnathonemus has when challenged with avoiding predators obscured by small debris and floating plant particles under poor lighting conditions.

Our results reveal that the photonic crystal cups in the grouped retina of Gnathonemus are effective, wavelength-sensitive light intensifiers that increase the sensitivity of cone photoreceptors in the red and match the dynamic ranges of rods and cones. This feature, together with the macroreceptor aspect of the grouped retina, enables these fish to perform better than species with conventional retinas, under experimental conditions mimicking the—visually challenging—habitat of Gnathonemus. We conclude that their grouped retina, as a unique type of retinal specialisation, has emerged to provide the optical prerequisites to detect large, fast-moving predators as an adaptation to the survival demands of the fish’s habitat. It might be expected that similar evolutionary advantages rationalize the grouped retina found in other species.
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Fig. 4. Gnathonemus displays a high tolerance against spatial noise. (A) Startle experiment with an expanding circle disguised by dynamic gray noise particles (9000 particles). Flight responses declined in both species (percentage relative to no noise), but Gnathonemus (blue) was less affected than Carassius (amber; P < 0.05) and produced fewer false responses with noise only (control) (P < 0.05). (B) Percentage of optomotor responses to reversal of moving stripes relative to response rate without noise. When the stripes were obscured by dynamic white particles of varying size, Gnathonemus performed significantly better than Carassius (P < 0.05). (C) Contrast detection of Gnathonemus and (D) Lepomis with and without added dynamic noise particles. Fish were trained to approach a black square on a bright background; the contrast of the square was reduced stepwise. The performance of Gnathonemus decreased only slightly when noise particles were added (solid curve). Note that Lepomis refused to make any choices when images were overlaid by noise particles—even at 100% contrast.