Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) offers currently the equipment (Suloway (Fig. 1a–d). serial sectioning is required to capture the volume of the specimen as a mosaic to cover a substantial portion of the tissue. Moreover, gigantic structures and many overlapping images must be assembled these studies (White connectivity map in the nervous system. The reconstruction of the complete neuronal reveal neuronal connectivity maps that underlie the function of the tissue level, TEM finds most applications in neurobiology to macromolecular assemblies inside cells. For anatomical studies on subcellular structures such as organelles and some of the largest samples. The superior resolution of TEM is classically used to reveal highest available resolution to investigate the structure of biological samples. The superior resolution of TEM is indispensable for analysis of synaptic connectivity in the brain. Registration of ssTEM image mosaics has to recover the 3D continuity and geometrical properties of the specimen in presence of various distortions that are applied to the tissue during sectioning, staining and imaging. These include staining artifacts, mechanical deformation, missing sections and the fact that structures may appear dissimilar in consecutive sections.

Results: We developed a fully automatic, non-rigid but as-rigid-as-possible registration method for large tiled serial section microscopy stacks. We use the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) to identify corresponding landmarks within and across sections and globally optimize the pose of all tiles in terms of least square displacement of these landmark correspondences. We evaluate the precision of the approach using an artificially generated dataset designed to mimic the properties of TEM data. We demonstrate the performance of our method by registering an ssTEM dataset of the first instar larval brain of Drosophila melanogaster consisting of 6885 images.

Availability: This method is implemented as part of the open source software TiledEMH (http://www.ini.luzh.ch/~cardona/tiledemh.html) and distributed through the Fiji project (http://fiji.sc). Contact: tomanacak@mpi-cbg.de

1 INTRODUCTION

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) offers currently the highest available resolution to investigate the structure of biological samples. The superior resolution of TEM is classically used to reveal subcellular structures such as organelles and some of the largest macromolecular assemblies inside cells. For anatomical studies on the tissue level, TEM finds most applications in neurobiology to reveal neuronal connectivity maps that underlie the function of the nervous system. The reconstruction of the complete neuronal connectivity map in Caenorhabditis elegans remains the pinnacle of these studies (White et al., 1986). On the nanometer scales, brains are gigantic structures and many overlapping images must be assembled as a mosaic to cover a substantial portion of the tissue. Moreover, serial sectioning is required to capture the volume of the specimen (Fig. 1a–d).

Digital imaging and high-precision beam or specimen shifting equipment (Soloway et al., 2005) simplifies the reconstruction of mosaics within one section by providing a good initial estimate of the tile configuration (Fig. 1b). However, due to the minute distances involved the precision of the instruments is insufficient for seamless stitching of the tiles (Fig. 1c). Adjacent sections are rotated and translated arbitrarily with respect to each other (Fig. 1d). Physical sectioning, the subsequent manipulation of the sections by contrast gaining staining procedures and imaging with the electron beam introduce significant distortions and artifacts into the captured images (Fig. 1e–h). The goal in reconstruction of serial section TEM (ssTEM) data is to identify the configuration of all tiles that best preserves both the continuity and geometry of 3D structures in the specimen making it amenable for subsequent segmentation and analysis. Particularly in neurobiology, it is important to preserve the continuity of axons across large distances and the ability to distinguish and localize synaptic connections between neurons.

Anderson et al. (2009) propose a registration pipeline for large ssTEM datasets based on correlation of image intensities within and across sections. They are able to identify overlapping tiles in unordered sets automatically, mosaic them by propagating pairwise translation and refine this initial layout by warping each tile to its preceding partners. The resulting section mosaics are registered relative to each other by searching a rigid transformation initially and subsequently warping one section to the other. This solution guarantees continuity of 3D structures by maximizing the overlap of similar image content in adjacent sections. On the other hand, it cannot preserve geometrical properties because the unavoidable registration error is accumulated with each consecutive registration step.

Instead of using all image intensities, it is possible to register two or more images using corresponding salient points as a statistic about the image content as proposed by Brown and Lowe (2003) for panorama stitching. Reducing the problem from full resolution image content to a relatively small number of corresponding points simplifies the estimation of the globally optimal configuration for a large number of tiles.

State of the art techniques (Bay et al., 2008; Lowe, 2004; Matas et al., 2002; Mikolajczyk and Schmid, 2004; Tuytelaars and Van Gool, 2004) allow both automatic detection of interest points and extraction of affine invariant local descriptors for these points. Affine invariant matching becomes nearest neighbor search in a local feature descriptor space. It was shown by Mikolajczyk and Schmid (2003) that the local descriptor as used in the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT; Lowe, 2004) outperforms competing techniques in both distinctiveness and robustness with respect to significant image deformation and other disturbing artifacts.

In this article, we present a fully automatic registration method for large ssTEM image mosaics that globally minimizes the
registration error. We identify overlapping images by corresponding image content within and across sections using SIFT features and a geometric consistency constraint. We approximate the non-linear transformation between adjacent sections by an independent rigid-body transformation (rotation and translation) for each image tile of the section mosaics. In a TEM section series, none of the sections can be considered non-deformed and thus, no section can serve as a template for registration. Therefore, we define the registration problem to be template free and, instead, explicitly minimize the non-rigid deformation applied to all sections. This is achieved by globally minimizing the square displacement of all corresponding SIFT landmarks within and across sections. By this means, the resulting tile configuration is non-rigid but as-rigid-as-possible. In order to evaluate the performance of this approach, we developed a synthetic ground truth dataset that is designed to mimic the properties of ssTEM data. We were able to register 6885 images from 85 serial sections through the Drosophila first instar larval brain; each section consisting of 9 × 9 tiles of 2048 × 2048 px. The registered dataset serves as a starting point for characterizing the fine architecture of this large brain at unprecedented resolution.

2 METHODS

2.1 Definition of the task

Specimens prepared for serial sectioning are typically embedded in a block of rigid medium such as resin, plastic or ice. Using a microtome, ultrathin consecutive slices are cut from the rigidly mounted block. The slices float on the surface of a liquid in the ‘knife boat’ and are manually picked up onto a TEM grid. While the section thickness may vary slightly within and across sections, in this work, we consider sections to be planar and of constant thickness. In reality, the cross-section variation can be minimized by careful operation of the microtome, and, as both the specimen itself and the knife of the microtome are rigidly mounted, intra-section variation is compensated throughout the section series by complementary variation in other sections.

Each section is imaged as a set of overlapping tiles. For each tile t, the microscope registers a 2D translation vector \( t = (u, v)^T \) in a relative section reference frame \( R_1 \), whereas the section index \( s \) is given by the human operator. These coordinates are inaccurate due to measurement errors \( \delta t = (u, v)^T \) (Fig. 1b and c). A section’s pose relative to its adjacent sections is an unknown rigid transformation \( R_s \) (Fig. 1d). Moreover, sectioning, preparation after sectioning and even the imaging process itself induce noticeable deformations of both sections as a whole (Fig. 1) as well as on the scale of single tiles (Fig. 1a and e–h).

Therefore, the task is to reconstruct a 3D image volume from serial sections that have to be reconstructed from single tiles while compensating for noticeable deformation within and across sections. Particularly, the deformation-compensation poses the question how to invert the largely unknown transforming process that mapped a 3D coordinate \((s, y, z)^T\) in scene space \( R_s \) into a 2D coordinate \((u, v)^T\) in tile space \( R_t \). Assuming planar sections of constant thickness as mentioned above, we attach scene and section spaces by a permutation \( P_{xy} \) between the scene dimension \( z \) and section index \( s \). Now this mapping shows as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = R_s D_s P_{xy} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} + t_s + \delta_t
\]  

with \( R_s, D_s \) and \((s, y, z)^T\) being unknown. Without precise knowledge about the deforming process \( D_s \), the appropriate solution is that with minimal non-rigid deformation for all sections, a model being as-rigid-as-possible. This coincides with the researcher’s request not to introduce unmotivated artificial deformation to the image data that would adulterate
his observations. Declaring a tile being the unit of rigidity, we approximate $\text{R}, \text{D}, \text{D}, \epsilon_t$, a rigid-per-tile transformation $\text{R}$, such that

$$\begin{align*}
\{ (x, o) \} &= \text{R}(x, o) + \epsilon_t + \theta_t
\end{align*}$$

with $\epsilon_t$ being the transformation error introduced by the approximation. Maximal consistency relative to the scene is guaranteed by minimizing the remaining error $E_{\text{max}} = \epsilon_t + \theta_t$.

Without knowing the scene, it is impossible to estimate $\epsilon_t$ directly. However, consistency with respect to the scene implies consistency relative to overlapping tiles. Let $T$ be the set of all tiles $t \in T$ and $\Omega$ be the set of all rigid transformations $\text{R}, \epsilon, \theta$, then the best configuration $\Omega$ is that minimizing the sum of all relative transfer errors of pairwise overlapping tiles:

$$\begin{align*}
\arg\min_{\Omega \in \Omega} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{o \in \Omega(t)} \epsilon_o
\end{align*}$$

Using landmark correspondences as a statistic about corresponding image content, $\epsilon_o$ is the sum of all square correspondence point displacements. Let $C_o$ be a set of landmark correspondences $(x, y)$ between two tiles $t \in T$ and $o \in \Omega(t)$ with $x, y, \epsilon, \theta$. Then the best configuration $\Omega$ is that minimizing the sum of all square correspondence displacements:

$$\begin{align*}
\arg\min_{\Omega \in \Omega} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{o \in \Omega(t)} \left( \sum_{x, y \in C_o} [x - \text{R}\{x, y\}]^2 \right)
\end{align*}$$

with $t \in T$ being a fixed tile that defines the scene reference frame. All tiles must represent one single interconnected graph being pairwise connected by sets of landmark correspondences. This optimization task requires a reliable landmark correspondence detector. Within a section, the appropriate interest point detector needs to be covariant to translation and robust against small amounts of non-rigid deformation as the overlapping parts of two consecutive tiles show the projection of the same tissue slightly deformed by heat during imaging. For cross-section matching, the appropriate interest point detector needs covariance to rotation as well as an automation to detect only structures that appear similar, since two adjacent tiles show different portions of the tissue and are related by a rigid transformation and some plastic deformation.

In ssTEM data, depending on section thickness, corresponding locations can be identified by local appearance of large enough structures sectioned perpendicularly. Such structures appear on different scales (for instance, mitochondria 500 nm, nuclei 2 µm and neuropile compartments 40 µm) and thus detecting structures with large $(x, y, z)^3$-scale raises the chance to detect the same structures in adjacent sections (Fig. 2).

For its scale invariant nature and robustness, we decided to use SIFT (Lowe, 2004) for both intra- and intersection landmark correspondence detection.

### 2.2 SIFT

SIFT (Lowe, 2004) detects blobs of arbitrary size as interest points using the Difference of Gaussian detector, estimates one or more dominant orientations for each detection and extracts a scale and orientation invariant local descriptor for each. Such blob-like structures are common in most textured images including TEM data. Typically, we detect several hundreds to thousands of interest points in a TEM micrograph of 2000 × 2000 px image size.

The detection’s size, orientation and 2D location define a local coordinate frame for extraction of an invariant descriptor. We found empirically, that a larger descriptor built from 8 × 8 local histograms with eight bins each (512 dimensions) provides substantially better matching results for our data than the originally proposed 4 × 4 × 8 descriptor. The smaller descriptors were proposed for natural images where viewpoint change and occlusion play a significant role. In TEM data, where two regions are related only by a rigid transformation with some deformation, a larger descriptor region necessarily increases the distinctiveness (Fig. 3).

Interest point correspondence candidates are identified by nearest neighbor matching in the local descriptor space. As suggested by Lowe (2004), good candidates are those that are significantly better than the next nearest neighbor. Significantly better means that the ratio between the Euclidean distances to the nearest and the next nearest neighbor is smaller than a given threshold. Empirically, we identified a threshold of 0.92 for TEM images to yield a reasonable number of correspondence candidates. Since real-time performance is not required, we identify the exact nearest neighbor by exhaustive search.

Local descriptor matching results in a significant number of false correspondences. We use the Random Sample Consensus (RANSAC) (Fischler and Bolles, 1981) to separate true correspondences that behave consistently with respect to a rigid transformation up to a maximal correspondence displacement $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ (see Algorithm 1). For estimation of the optimal rigid transformation by means of least square correspondence displacement, we use the closed form solution described by Scharf et al. (2006). Significant deformation requires $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ to be relatively tolerant thus accepting some false correspondences. We filter these with a robust regression scheme that iteratively removes correspondences with
Algorithm 1 RANSAC

Input: Set of data points $C$
- Model $M$
- Maximal number of iterations $k$
- Maximal allowed error $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$
- Minimal required number of inliers $n_{\text{min}}$

Output: Set of inlier data points $\hat{C}_+$
- Model $\hat{M}$ best fitting to $\hat{C}_+$

1. $\hat{C}_+ \leftarrow \emptyset$ \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ Initialize best set of inliers.
2. for $k$ iterations do
3. \hspace{1cm} $C_{\text{random}} \leftarrow$ choose random minimal subset of $C$ to solve $M$
4. \hspace{2cm} $\hat{C}_+ \leftarrow M \left( C_{\text{random}} \right)$ \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ Initialize set of inliers.
5. \hspace{1cm} for all $c \in C$ do
6. \hspace{2cm} if $\| M(c) - x \| < \epsilon_{\text{max}}$ then
7. \hspace{3cm} $C_+ \leftarrow C_+ \cup \{ c \}$
8. \hspace{2cm} end if
9. \hspace{2cm} end for
10. \hspace{1cm} if $| C_+ | \geq n_{\text{min}}$ then
11. \hspace{1.5cm} $\hat{C}_+ \leftarrow C_+$
12. \hspace{1cm} end if
13. \hspace{1cm} end if
14. end for
15. if $| \hat{C}_+ | \geq n_{\text{min}}$ then
16. \hspace{1cm} $\hat{M} \leftarrow \hat{M} \left( \hat{C}_+ \right)$ \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ Refine $\hat{M}$ with respect to all inliers.
17. end if

Algorithm 2 Iterative square displacement minimization

Input: Set of tiles $T$
- Set of fixed tiles $T_f \subset T$
- Set of landmark correspondences $C$

Output: Optimal configuration $R = \{ R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{|T_f|} \}$

1. $\bar{\epsilon}_R \leftarrow \infty$ \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ Initialize the average displacement.
2. repeat
3. \hspace{1cm} for all $t \in T \setminus T_f$ do
4. \hspace{2cm} $R \leftarrow$ rigid transformation to $C_t$
5. \hspace{2cm} for all $(x, y) \in C_t$ do
6. \hspace{3cm} $x \leftarrow Rx$ \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ Update landmarks in $C_t$
7. \hspace{2cm} end for
8. \hspace{2cm} $R_t \leftarrow RR_t$
9. \hspace{2cm} end for
10. $\bar{\epsilon}_R \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum \| y - x \|^2$
11. until $\bar{\epsilon}_R$ converges

Fig. 3. SIFT-feature correspondences in two overlapping tiles from adjacent sections. 1003 feature candidates were extracted in tile (a), 971 in tile (b). 41 correspondence pairs were identified by local feature descriptor matching, 19 of them are true matches consistent to common transformation model. Two true correspondence pairs are selected as an example for the local SIFT-descriptor. The values of the local histogram bins are shown as combs on top of the local region the descriptor is extracted from.

2.3 Global optimization of the tile configuration

Having the set of true landmark correspondences identified successfully, the optimal configuration $R$ of all tiles has to be estimated. As shown in Equation (4), this is the configuration with minimal square correspondence displacements.

We minimize this term using an iterative optimization scheme (see Algorithm 2). In case that prior knowledge about the gross configuration of all tiles is available, the minimization is initialized with this configuration. Otherwise all tiles start from $R = 1$. In each iteration $i$, the optimal $R_i$ for each single tile $t \in T \setminus T_f$ relative to the current configuration is estimated using the closed form least square solution by Schaefer et al. (2006) and applied to all landmark coordinates in this tile. The scheme terminates on convergence of the average correspondence displacement $\bar{\epsilon}_R$ that is estimated after each iteration. As convergence criteria, we require $\bar{\epsilon}_R$ to have a low absolute slope $|\nabla_{\epsilon_R}|$ and an absolute value below some threshold given by the user. It is crucial to prevent being trapped in local minima or at long plateaus. We address this effectively by requiring $|\nabla_{\epsilon_R}|$ to be very low for all $h$ from a set of decreasing lengths. The maximal total number of iterations and the maximal accepted remaining error are user-defined parameters with empirically estimated defaults suggested.

2.4 Notes on implementation

The described registration algorithm including SIFT, RANSAC, closed form least square error solutions for a variety of transformation models, the robust regression scheme and the iterative optimizer are implemented using the C programming language and provided as an Open Source library through the ImageJ distribution Fiji (Schindelin, 2008). The registration procedure is included in the software toolkit TrakEM2 (Cardona, 2006) for management, registration and analysis of massive serial section microscopy datasets and, by that, in daily use in a large number of laboratories all over the world.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Overview of the registration algorithm

Principally, the registration procedure consists of extracting landmarks from all tiles, identifying landmark correspondences between tile pairs and estimating the tile configuration that minimizes the sum of all square correspondence displacements as shown in Algorithm 3.
Algorithm 3: Register TEM-dataset: principal procedure

Input: Set of tiles \( T \)
Output: Tile configuration \( R \)

1. for all \( i \in T \) do 
2. \( F_i \leftarrow \) extract interest points
3. end for 

▷ Extract interest points from all tiles.
4. \( C \leftarrow \) empty set of correspondences 
5. for all \( i \in T \) do 
6. \( C_i \leftarrow \) empty set of correspondences
7. for all \( o \in T \backslash \{i\} \) do 
8. \( C_{io} \leftarrow \) identify correspondence pairs from \( F_i \) and \( F_o \)
9. \( C_i \leftarrow C_i \cup C_{io} \)
10. end for 
11. \( C \leftarrow C \cup C_i \)
12. end for 

▷ Identify interest point correspondences for pairs of tiles.
13. \( R \leftarrow \arg\min_{R} \)

Thus sequentially building up the optimal global configuration from optimal intermediate configurations.

We applied this registration approach to test sets of TEM data of the Drosophila first instar larval brain sectioned into 60 nm sections imaged at 3.26 nm/px resolution. We developed a visualization of the global optimization progress where for each iteration the corresponding landmarks and the residual transfer error between them are highlighted by green dots and red lines, respectively. Movie 1 available as supplementary on-line material shows the progress of the optimization for a single section dataset consisting of 6 × 6 tiles.\(^3\) It highlights the ability of the method to correctly place even tiles that contain mostly background (lower left corner tile) and gain very little SIFT feature detections, as long as these are attached to the graph. If a tile lacks SIFT correspondences altogether, it represents an independent graph on its own. The user can choose to drop or hide all but the largest graph from the dataset. Movie 2 shows the progress of the optimization on three serial sections imaged as 4 × 4 tile mosaics demonstrating that the procedure for multiple section is essentially the same as for a single section.\(^2\)

3.2 Quantitative assessment of registration results on synthetic evaluation data

We created an artificial dataset with the ray-tracing program PO迫-ray (Cason et al., 2007) that allows to define the interior of a volume as a density pattern based on an arbitrary volumetric function, where high density scatters more electrons than structures with lower density and appear darker at the screen. The interior of the evaluation dataset contains filamentous and ‘blob’-like structures, both over three scale octaves with multiscale turbulence. In this way, it mimics typical structures in the stained tissue, like membranes, nucleoli and mitochondria.

To emulate the ssTEM data we generated 16 projections of 4096 × 4096 px each through consecutive volumes of 20 px

\(^{3}\)Movie 1: http://fly.mpi-cbg.de/saalfeld/36.avi

\(^{2}\)Movie 2: http://fly.mpi-cbg.de/saalfeld/48.avi

\(^{3}\)Evaluation-dataset: http://fly.mpi-cbg.de/saalfeld/tem-evaluation
These results demonstrate that, with the proposed method, we can TEM using a moving stage operated by the Leginon software as and a resolution of 4.68 nm px. All 6885 tiles were registered fully register them.

collecting onto the electron microscopy grid and shown here as black rows. maximal displacement $\epsilon = 4.14$ px, SD $\bar{\epsilon} = 3.63$ px and maximal displacement $\epsilon_{max} = 15.71$ px for the registration result. These results demonstrate that, with the proposed method, we can both identify overlap in an unknown configuration of images and register them.

3.3 Registration of the Drosophila first instar larval brain ssTEM dataset

As an example of real biological data, we registered the Drosophila first instar larval brain ssTEM dataset consisting of 85 sections of 60 nm thickness covering lateral neuronal layers and part of the neuropile of the left hemisphere. Each section was imaged with TEM using a moving stage operated by the Leginon software as 9 \times 9 tiles overlapping by $\sim 6\%$. Tiles have a size of 2048 \times 2048 px and a resolution of 4.68 nm/px. All 6885 tiles were registered fully automatically. Intrasection configurations were initialized with the odometry data of the microscope. Correspondence estimation using SIFT descriptors and robust geometric consensus filters performed very well even in presence of significant changes in illumination and sharpness, dirty sections and significant gaps of up to six sections in the stack. Visual inspection of the registered data shows reliable continuity of biologically relevant structures such as axon bundles within and across sections both at low and high scales (Fig. 5a and b). Moreover, when the registered dataset is cut perpendicularly, the resulting image, whose lateral resolution is limited by section thickness, resembles electron microscopy data without major discontinuities suggesting that the registration was successful (Fig. 5c). For visualization and collaborative annotation, we present the registered dataset on-line through the CATMAID web interface (Saalfeld et al., 2009).

4 DISCUSSION

We presented a fully automated method for registration of large ssTEM image mosaics. The method identifies the optimal configuration of image tiles regardless whether or not an initial guess of the registration process is available. It is capable of correctly placing tiles with only minimal image content provided that this content is connected to the rest of the tile graph. Disconnected graphs of tiles are registered independently. Thus, the approach is ideally suited to alignment of ssTEM datasets generated in a robotic setup.

We use SIFT to identify corresponding landmarks and use them as a statistical sample for similar image content in the TEM images. The scale invariance of SIFT is well suited to capture the changing appearance of small structures at low scale levels and the presence of meaningful similar features at multiple scales. Larger, more distinctive local descriptors together with the requirement that correspondence candidates must be geometrically consistent yields reliably large sets of true matches.

The reduction of the registration task to a compact set of corresponding landmarks enables global minimization of square correspondence displacements for very large tile systems. Registering the 6885 tiles Drosophila larval brain dataset took $\sim 24$ h on an Intel\textsuperscript{10} Xeon\textsuperscript{9} computer with two 2.66 GHz dual-core CPUs and 8 GB of RAM, with the most time-consuming operation in the procedure being the exhaustive nearest neighbor search in the 512 D local descriptor space. Principally, there is no upper limit to the size of the dataset other than the available storage space.

The described methods for robust outlier removal and global optimization are applicable to a wide variety of microscopy image mosaicking tasks. We used it successfully to register light microscopy image mosaics including sets of overlapping 3D confocal image stacks (Preibisch et al., 2009a) and applied it to bead-based registration of Selective Plane Illumination Microscopy (SPIM; Huisken et al., 2004) datasets consisting of 3D stacks of the same specimen taken from different angles (Preibisch et al., 2009a). In Preibisch et al. (2009b), we identify the pairwise 3D translation between overlapping confocal image stacks by normalized cross-correlation and globally minimize the translational offset of large

---

1Section series as a movie: http://fly.mpib-cbg.de/saalfeld/series.avi
2Resliced series as a movie: http://fly.mpib-cbg.de/saalfeld/resliced.avi
3Registered dataset on-line: http://fly.mpib-cbg.de/first-instar-brain

---

Fig. 5. Drosophila first instar larval brain ssTEM dataset. Two consecutive registered sections from the dataset as red-cyan color merge. The diameter of the brain is $\sim 60\mu m$. (a) The section mosaic as a whole with the areas zoomed in (b) marked. (c) A single perpendicular section through the entire registered volume. Several sections were lost during sectioning and collecting onto the electron microscopy grid and shown here as black rows.
sets of overlapping stacks. In Preibisch et al. (2009a), we proposed the usage of fluorescent beads embedded in the rigid mounting medium as fiduciary markers. We use the local constellations of neighboring beads to automatically identify correspondences invariantly to 3D rotation and scale. The model for the geometric consistency constraint and global minimization of the square transfer errors is a 3D affine transformation with one of the 3D stacks serving as a template.

We generated a unique evaluation dataset designed to resemble the appearance of biological tissue in ssTEM data for quantitative comparison of the registration results. The evaluation framework can be used to assess the performance of any registration scheme that records its transformation model and could become the standard for objectively measuring the performance of various registration approaches. In future work, we will induce artificial deformation and variance in section thickness to the dataset in order to examine the limits of our registration method. As an alternative more realistic ground truth evaluation dataset, we propose to use Serial Block-Face Scanning Electron Microscopy data (Denk and Horstmann, 2004) where consecutive sections are aligned per definition.

The presented registration method is non-rigid but as-rigid-as-possible with a tile being the unit of rigidity for intrasection alignment. This delivers an ssTEM image dataset amenable to quantitative analysis, such as double disector estimation of synaptic density (Geinisman et al., 1996), which require volumes to be as reliable as possible. On the other hand, rigid-per-tile registration cannot compensate large-scale deformation by tile displacement without introducing noticeable discontinuities at the tile borders. The solution to this problem lies in extending the approach to include arbitrary non-rigid deformation at scales below the tile level preserving the regularization in terms of local rigidity. That means deforming all images minimally. We are currently exploring various ideas of how to express this regularization for a completely non-rigid global registration. The evaluation dataset will be instrumental in assessing the performance of such approaches with respect to reflecting faithfully the ground truth data.

The globally optimal reconstruction of entire brains on TEM level will enable registration of 3D light microscopy data onto electron microscopy volumes. By that it will be possible to establish the connection between brain macro (neuronal lineages) and micro (synaptic connectivity) circuitry (Cardona et al., 2009).
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